

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Date: May 15, 2008

Applicants: Bednorz et al.

Docket: YO987-074BZ

Serial No.: 08/479,810

Group Art Unit: 1751

Filed: June 7, 1995

Examiner: M. Kopec

For: NEW SUPERCONDUCTIVE COMPOUNDS HAVING HIGH TRANSITION
TEMPERATURE, METHODS FOR THEIR USE AND PREPARATION

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CORRECTED APPEAL BRIEF

Part VII

CFR 37 §41.37(c)(1)(vii)

VOLUME 3

Part 7

Argument For the Patentability of Each Rejected Claims 444-487

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel P Morris/
Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.
Reg. No. 32,053
(914) 945-3217

IBM CORPORATION
Intellectual Property Law Dept.
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

CLAIM 444/438

CLAIM 444/436 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 444 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a layered structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 444/439

CLAIM 444/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 444 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a layered structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 444/440

CLAIM 444/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 444 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a layered structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 445/438

CLAIM 445/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 445 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a substantially perovskite structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 445/439

CLAIM 445/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 445 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a substantially perovskite structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 445/440

CLAIM 445/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 445 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a substantially perovskite structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 446/438

CLAIM 446/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 446 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite-like structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 446/439

CLAIM 446/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 446 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite-like structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 446/440

CLAIM 446/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 446 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite-like structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, News and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 447/438

CLAIM 447/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 447 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite related structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 447/439

CLAIM 447/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 447 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite related structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 447/440

CLAIM 447/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 447 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite related structure.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 448/438

CLAIM 448/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 448 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a structure having a perovskite characteristic.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 448/439

CLAIM 448/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 448 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a structure having a perovskite characteristic.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 448/440

CLAIM 448/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 448 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a structure having a perovskite characteristic.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 449/438

CLAIM 449/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 449 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 449/439

CLAIM 449/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 449 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 449/440

CLAIM 449/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 449 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 450/438

CLAIM 450/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 450 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a copper oxide.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 450/439

CLAIM 450/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 450 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a copper oxide.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 450/440

CLAIM 450/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 450 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a copper oxide.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 451/438

CLAIM 451/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 451 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen in a nonstoichiometric amount.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 451/439

CLAIM 451/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 451 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen in a nonstoichiometric amount.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 451/440

CLAIM 451/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 451 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen in a nonstoichiometric amount.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, News and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 452/438

CLAIM 452/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 452 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a multivalent transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 452/439

CLAIM 452/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 452 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a multivalent transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 452/440

CLAIM 452/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 452 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a multivalent transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, News and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 453/438

CLAIM 453/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 453 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 453/439

CLAIM 453/439 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 453 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 453/440

CLAIM 453/440 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 453 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, News and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 454/441/438

CLAIM 454/441/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 441 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen.

CLAIM 454 An apparatus according to claim 441, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

“Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior.” Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 454/441/439/438

CLAIM 454/441/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 441 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen.

CLAIM 454 An apparatus according to claim 441, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the

Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 454/441/440/438

CLAIM 454/441/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 441 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen.

CLAIM 454 An apparatus according to claim 441, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 455/442/438

CLAIM 455/442/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 442 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises one or more of the groups consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 455 An apparatus according to claim 442, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 455/442/439/438

CLAIM 455/442/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 442 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises one or more of the groups consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 455 An apparatus according to claim 442, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 455/442/440/438

CLAIM 455/442/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 442 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises one or more of the groups consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 455 An apparatus according to claim 442, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has

expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 456/443/438

CLAIM 456/443/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 443 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 456 An apparatus according to claim 443, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 456/443/439/438

CLAIM 456/443/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 443 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 456 An apparatus according to claim 443, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 456/443/440/438

CLAIM 456/443/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 443 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 or 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 456 An apparatus according to claim 443, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has

expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 457/444/438

CLAIM 457/444/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 444 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a layered structure.

CLAIM 457 An apparatus according to claim 444, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

“Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior.” Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 457/444/439/438

CLAIM 457/444/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 444 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a layered structure.

CLAIM 457 An apparatus according to claim 444, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the

Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 457/444/440/438

CLAIM 457/444/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 444 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a layered structure.

CLAIM 457 An apparatus according to claim 444, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 458/445/438

CLAIM 458/445/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 445 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a substantially perovskite structure.

CLAIM 458 An apparatus according to claim 445, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 458/445/439/438

CLAIM 458/445/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 445 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a substantially perovskite structure.

CLAIM 458 An apparatus according to claim 445, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 458/445/440/438

CLAIM 458/445/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 445 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a substantially perovskite structure.

CLAIM 458 An apparatus according to claim 445, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 459/446/438

CLAIM 459/446/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 446 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite-like structure.

CLAIM 459 An apparatus according to claim 446, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 459/446/439/438

CLAIM 459/446/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 446 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite-like structure.

CLAIM 459 An apparatus according to claim 446, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 459/446/440/438

CLAIM 459/446/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 446 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite-like structure.

CLAIM 459 An apparatus according to claim 446, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 460/447/438

CLAIM 460/447/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 447 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite related structure.

CLAIM 460 An apparatus according to claim 447, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentence bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 460/447/439/438

CLAIM 460/447439//438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 447 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite related structure.

CLAIM 460 An apparatus according to claim 447, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 460/446/440/438

CLAIM 460/446/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 447 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a perovskite related structure.

CLAIM 460 An apparatus according to claim 447, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 461/448/438

CLAIM 461/448/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 448 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a structure having a perovskite characteristic.

CLAIM 461 An apparatus according to claim 448, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 461/448/439/438

CLAIM 461/448/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 448 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a structure having a perovskite characteristic.

CLAIM 461 An apparatus according to claim 448, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 461/448/440/438

CLAIM 461/448/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 448 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a structure having a perovskite characteristic.

CLAIM 461 An apparatus according to claim 448, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 462/449/438

CLAIM 462/449/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 449 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 462 An apparatus according to claim 449, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

“Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior.” Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 462/449/439/438

CLAIM 462/449/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 449 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 462 An apparatus according to claim 449, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the

Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 462/449/440/438

CLAIM 462/449/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 449 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 462 An apparatus according to claim 449, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 463/450/438

CLAIM 463/450/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 450 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a copper oxide.

CLAIM 463 An apparatus according to claim 450, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

“Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior.” Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 463/450/439/438

CLAIM 463/450/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 450 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a copper oxide.

CLAIM 463 An apparatus according to claim 450, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the

Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 463/450/440/438

CLAIM 463/450/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 450 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a copper oxide.

CLAIM 463 An apparatus according to claim 450, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 464/451/438

CLAIM 464/451/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 451 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen in a nonstoichiometric amount.

CLAIM 464 An apparatus according to claim 451, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 464/451/439/438

CLAIM 464/451/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 451 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen in a nonstoichiometric amount.

CLAIM 464 An apparatus according to claim 451, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 464/451/440/438

CLAIM 464/451/440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 451 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises oxygen in a nonstoichiomeric amount.

CLAIM 464 An apparatus according to claim 451, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 465/452/438

CLAIM 465/452/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 452 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a multivalent transition metal.

CLAIM 465 An apparatus according to claim 452, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

“Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior.” Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 465/452/439/438

CLAIM 465/452/439/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 439 An apparatus according to claim 438, wherein said means for conducting a superconductive current comprises a Tc greater than or equal to 26°K.

CLAIM 452 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a multivalent transition metal.

CLAIM 465 An apparatus according to claim 452, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 465/452/440/438

CLAIM 465/452440/438 recites:

CLAIM 438 An apparatus comprising: a means for conducting a superconducting current at a temperature greater than or equal to 26°K and a current source for providing an electric current to flow in said means for conducting a superconducting current.

CLAIM 440 An apparatus according to claim 438, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said means for conducting a superconducting current at a said temperature.

CLAIM 452 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 438, 439 and 440, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current comprises a multivalent transition metal.

CLAIM 465 An apparatus according to claim 452, wherein said means for conducting a superconducting current can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 466

CLAIM 466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in

view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

This claim is in means plus function form and under *In re Donaldson* 29 USPQ 2d1845 (Fed. Cir. 1994) should be allowed since the Examiner has allowed claims to the specific examples described in Applicants' specification which corresponds to all of the allowed claims.

CLAIM 467

CLAIM 467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element is at a
temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole

1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 468

CLAIM 468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole

1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 469/466

CLAIM 469/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the

Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 469/467

CLAIM 469/467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element is at a
temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that

come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 469/468

CLAIM 469/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on

Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 470/466

CLAIM 470/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a Tc
≥ 26K

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiomeric or nonstoichiomeric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr,
Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm,
Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 470/467

CLAIM 470/467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element is at a
temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr,
Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm,
Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on

Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 470/468

CLAIM 470/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiomeric or nonstoichiomeric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr,
Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm,
Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 471/469/466

CLAIM 471/469/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 471 An apparatus according to claim 469, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on

Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 471/469/467

CLAIM 471/469//467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a $T_c \geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a property selected from one or more of the group consisting of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure, a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 471 An apparatus according to claim 469, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 471/469/468

CLAIM 471/469/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 471 An apparatus according to claim 469, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 472/470/466

CLAIM 472/470/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiomeric or nonstoichiomeric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr,
Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm,
Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 472 An apparatus according to claim 470, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on

Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 472/470/467

CLAIM 472/470/467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a $T_c \geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a property selected from one or more of the group consisting of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure, a stoichiomeric or nonstoichiomeric oxygen contents and a dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 472 An apparatus according to claim 470, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 472/470/468

CLAIM 472/470/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr,
Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm,
Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 472 An apparatus according to claim 470, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 473/466

CLAIM 473/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 473 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467, or 468, wherein said superconducting current
carrying element can be made according to known principles
of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the

Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 473/467

CLAIM 473/467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiomeric or nonstoichiomeric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element is at a
temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 473 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467, or 468, wherein said superconducting current
carrying element can be made according to known principles
of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that

come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 473/468

CLAIM 473/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 473 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467, or 468, wherein said superconducting current
carrying element can be made according to known principles
of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on

Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 474/471/469/466

CLAIM 474/471/469/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiomeric or nonstoichiomeric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 471 An apparatus according to claim 469, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

CLAIM 474 An apparatus according to of claim 471,
wherein said superconducting current carrying element can
be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 474/471/469/467

CLAIM 474/471/469/467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a $T_c \geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a property selected from one or more of the group consisting of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure, a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 471 An apparatus according to claim 469, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 474 An apparatus according to of claim 471,
wherein said superconducting current carrying element can
be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 474/471/469/468

CLAIM 474/471/469/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 469 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of the group
consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd,
Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 471 An apparatus according to claim 469, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

CLAIM 474 An apparatus according to of claim 471,
wherein said superconducting current carrying element can
be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states
"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 475/472/470/466

CLAIM 475/472/470/466 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a $T_c \geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a property selected from one or more of the group consisting of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure, a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a dopant.

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 472 An apparatus according to claim 470, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 475 An apparatus according to of claim 472, wherein said superconducting current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 475/472/470/467

CLAIM 475/472/470/467 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a $T_c \geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a property selected from one or more of the group consisting of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure, a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a dopant.

CLAIM 467 An apparatus according to claim 466, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26K.

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 472 An apparatus according to claim 470, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 475 An apparatus according to of claim 472,
wherein said superconducting current carrying element can
be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 475/472/470/468

CLAIM 475/472/470/468 recites:

CLAIM 466 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
property selected from one or more of the group consisting
of a mixed valent oxide, a transition metal, a mixed valent
transition metal, a perovskite structure, a perovskite-like
structure, a perovskite related structure, a layered structure,
a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen contents and a
dopant.

CLAIM 468 An apparatus according to claim 466, further
including a temperature controller for maintaining said
superconductive current carrying element at a temperature
less than said T_c .

CLAIM 470 An apparatus according to anyone of claims
466, 467 or 468, wherein said superconductive current
carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr,
Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm,
Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 472 An apparatus according to claim 470, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element comprises a
transition metal.

CLAIM 475 An apparatus according to of claim 472,
wherein said superconducting current carrying element can
be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 476

CLAIM 476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an
oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-
like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or
nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 477

CLAIM 477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 478

CLAIM 478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 479/476

CLAIM 479/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement

Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, News and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 479/477

CLAIM 479/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 479/478

CLAIM 479/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has

expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 480/476

CLAIM 480/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole

1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 480/477

CLAIM 480/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 480/478

CLAIM 480/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has

expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 481/479/476

CLAIM 481/479/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 481 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 481/479/477

CLAIM 481/479/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 481 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner

has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 481/479/478

CLAIM 481/479/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 481 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has

given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 482/480/476

CLAIM 482/480/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 482 An apparatus according to claim 480, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 482/480/477

CLAIM 482/480/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 482 An apparatus according to claim 480, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner

has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 482/480/478

CLAIM 482/480/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 482 An apparatus according to claim 480, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has

given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 483

CLAIM 483 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 483 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises copper oxide.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner

has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

CLAIM 484/476

CLAIM 484/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 484 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe,

Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 484/477

CLAIM 484/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 484 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim

without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 484/478

CLAIM 484/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 484 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 485/479/476

CLAIM 485/479/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 485 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 485/479/477

CLAIM 485/479/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 485 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has

given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 485/479/478

CLAIM 485/479/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 485 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has

given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 486/480/476

CLAIM 486/480/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 486 An apparatus according to claim 480, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that

persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 486/480/477

CLAIM 486/480/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 486 An apparatus according to claim 480, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner

has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 486/480/478

CLAIM 486/480/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 480 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra and one or more of Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 486 An apparatus according to claim 480, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has

given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 487/481/479/476

CLAIM 487/481/479/476 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 481 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 487 An apparatus according to claim 481, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner

has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 487/481/479/477

CLAIM 487/481/479/477 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 477 An apparatus according to claim 476, wherein said superconductive current carrying element is at a temperature greater than or equal to 26 K.

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 481 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 487 An apparatus according to claim 481, wherein said superconductive current carrying element can be made according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.

CLAIM 487/481/479/478

CLAIM 487/481/479/478 recites:

CLAIM 476 An apparatus comprising:

a superconductive current carrying element comprising a T_c
 $\geq 26K$

said superconductive current carrying element comprises an oxide, a layered perovskite structure or a layered perovskite-like structure and comprises a stoichiometric or nonstoichiometric oxygen content.

CLAIM 478 An apparatus according to claim 476, further including a temperature controller for maintaining said superconductive current carrying element at a temperature less than said T_c .

CLAIM 479 An apparatus according to anyone of claims 476, 477 or 478, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises one or more of the group consisting of Be, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu.

CLAIM 481 An apparatus according to claim 479, wherein said superconductive current carrying element comprises a transition metal.

CLAIM 487 An apparatus according to claim 481, wherein
said superconductive current carrying element can be made
according to known principles of ceramic science.

The Examiner has not made as to this claim a prima facie case of lack of enablement for the reasons given in all volumes of this Brief. The Examiner has given no specific reasons for rejecting this claim as not enabled. The Examiner has not shown why a person of ordinary skill in the art cannot, based on Applicants' teaching, determine without undue experimentation, species that come within the scope of this claim other than those that the Examiner has expressly stated are enabled. Applicants have shown extensive evidence that persons of skill in the art can determine species within the scope of this claim without undue experimentation. Examples of Applicants' evidence are: the Examiner's First, Second, Third and Fourth Enablement Statements, the Poole 1988, 1995 and 1996 Enablement Statements, the Schuller Enablement Statement and Applicants' Affidavits of Mitzi, Dinger, Tsuei, Shaw, Duncombe, Newns and Bednorz in Brief Attachments AH to AR. In particular the Examiner has given no reason for why this claim is not enabled by Applicants' teaching in view of the underlined limitation of the claim which includes specific limitations on the scope of this claim.

The sentenced bridging page 1 and 2 of the specification states

"Generally, superconductivity is considered to be a property of the metallic state of a material since all known superconductors are metallic under the conditions that cause them to be superconducting. A few normally non-metallic materials, for example, become superconducting under very high pressure wherein the pressure converts them to metals before they exhibit superconducting behavior." Applicants discovered that ceramic materials are superconductors.